

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,146	07/26/2001		Richard A.A. Heylen	204	8208
31665	7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
PATENT I			SCHUBERT, KEVIN R		
MACROVIS 2830 DE LA		RPORATION BLVD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CL			2137		
				DATE MAILED: 08/28/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/916,146	HEYLEN, RICHARD A.A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Schubert	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/9/00 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,5-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,19,30 and 3 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,5-7,9,10,12,14-16,18,19,30 and 3 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 1 is/are rejected.	1.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060809; 20060526.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

5

10

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2-3,5-7,9-10,12,14-16,18-19, and 30-31 have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3,5-7,9,12,14-16,18-19, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
unpatentable over Hogan, U.S. Patent No. 5,699,434, in view of Maenza, U.S. Patent No. 6,076,165.

As per claims 30-31, the applicant describes a method comprising the following limitations which are met by Hogan in view of Maenza:

- a) providing data patterns on the disc arranged such that the disc patterns cannot be accurately copied onto another disc by a writer for recordable discs which has a limited ability to look ahead during encoding, wherein the data patterns have a DSV (digital sum value) which has a rapid rate of change over time wherein the transition in the EFM (eight to fourteen modulation) signal from the data patterns are shifted from their ideal values or the ability of disc drives to maintain optimal head positioning is compromised (Hogan: Col 3, lines 48-60; Col 5, line 64 to Col 6, line 41; Figs 3A,3B,3C,3D);
- b) the data patterns making up an authentication signature (Hogan: Col 3, lines 48-60; Col 5, line 64 to Col 6, line 41; Figs 3A,3B,3C,3D);
- c) wherein the data patterns of the authenticating signature and other data are applied to the optical disc in a mastering process using a laser beam recorder controlled by an encoder which has a larger ability to look ahead than the writer and thus can be controlled to accurately write the

Application/Control Number: 09/916,146

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

25

authenticating signature to the disc (Hogan: Col 3, lines 48-60; Col 5, line 64 to Col 6, line 41; Figs 3A,3B,3C,3D; Maenza: abstract).

Hogan teaches all the limitations of the above claim, except in Hogan it is unclear whether the authentication techniques may be used in a mastering process. Maenze teaches employing authentication techniques in a mastering process. More specifically, Maenza teaches writing data patterns making up an authenticating signature to a disc in a mastering process, whereby the data patterns making up the authenticating signature cannot be accurately copied to another disc using standard equipment. In so doing, security of data in the mastering process is maintained. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Maenze with those of Hogan because doing so allows security of data in a mastering process.

As per claim 3, the applicant describes the method of claim 30, which is met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein successful operation of the copy protected disc requires that the disc be present in the drive and that a correct authenticating signature be readable therefrom (Hogan: Fig 1; Col 4, lines 18-21).

As per claims 5 and 14, the applicant describes the method of claims 30-31, which are met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein the provided data patterns additionally to the rapid rate of change ensure that the DSV has an absolute value significantly greater than usual (Hogan: Col 3, lines 43-47).

As per claims 6 and 15, the applicant describes the method of claims 30-31, which are met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein the provided data patterns are repeated patterns of values (Hogan: Fig 3A, 3B, Col 3, lines 48-59).

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 7 and 16, the applicant describes the method of claims 30-31, which are met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein the size of the provided data patterns is predetermined (Hogan: Col 6, lines 42-49).

As per claims 9 and 18, the applicant describes the method of claims 30-31, which are met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein the provided data patterns arranged to produce a DSV which has a substantial low frequency component lower than that of the lowest signal frequency that does not cause DSV problems (Hogan: Col 5, lines 51-63; Fig 3B).

10

5

As per claim 12, the applicant describes the disc of claim 31, which is met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein the provided data patterns have a size and/or nature which ensures that they cannot be accurately written by a writer of recordable discs (Hogan: Col 3, lines 48-59; Col 1, lines 19-23).

15

As per claim 19, the applicant describes the disc of claim 31, which is met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is also met by Hogan:

Wherein the data patterns are put in a plurality of sectors on the optical disc (Hogan: Col 3, lines 48-60; Col 5, line 64 to Col 6, line 41).

20

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

25

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

30

Application/Control Number: 09/916,146

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

25

Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hogan in view Maenza of Newman, U.S. Patent No. 6,353,890.

As per claim 2, the applicant describes the method of claim 30, which is met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation:

Wherein the existence of corrupted or otherwise incorrect data in a particular sector on the optical disc signifies that that disc is not original whereby its use may be prevented (Newman: Col 10, lines 14-21);

Hogan in view of Maenza describes all the limitations of claim 30. However, Hogan in view of Maenza appears to fail to identify the use of corrupt or incorrect data on a particular sector to signify that the disc is not original. The errors in Hogan's system only serve to create a large DSV which inhibits copying of the disc. The errors in Newman's system serve to signify that the disc is or is not original. If the disc is not original, its use is not permitted.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Newman with those of Hogan in view of Maenza and allow for the data patterns to authenticate whether use of a disc is or is not permitted because doing this adds an additional security feature in the system.

As per claim 10, the applicant describes the method of claim 30, which is met by Hogan in view of Maenza, with the following limitation which is met by Newman:

Wherein the authenticating signature is also made up of sectors containing only zeros which are provided both before and after sectors containing the chosen data patterns (Newman: Col 3, lines 15-20; Col 3, lines 60-65);

Hogan in view of Maenza describes all the limitations of claim 30. However, Hogan in view of Maenza appears to fail to describes the use of padding sectors with zeros before and after sectors containing chosen data patterns. Newman discloses that an error free sector (containing only zeros) may

Application/Control Number: 09/916,146 Page 6

Art Unit: 2137

adjoin a sector of error data patterns for ease in processing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was filed to combine the ideas of Newman with those of Hogan in view of Maenza and add sectors containing only zeros before and after the sectors containing data patterns because doing so makes it easier for reading devices to proves information.

5

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Schubert whose telephone number is (571) 272-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-6:00.

20

15

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Application/Control Number: 09/916,146

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Page 7

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

10 KS

5

Cg 14

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER